ISSN: 2583-7354

International Journal of Emerging Knowledge Studies

Publisher's Home Page: https://www.ijeks.com/

Fully Open Access Research Paper

Examining the Role of Virtual Reality, Augmented Reality, and Artificial Intelligence in Adapting STEM Education for Next-Generation Inclusion

K.Sathish Kumar[1*], Tamil Selvan[2], Dr.M.Mahendra Prabu[3], Dr.G.Kalaiyarasan[4], Dr.R.Ramnath[5], Dr.N.Sasi Kumar[6]

[1,2]Research scholar, Department of Education, Alagappa University, Karaikudi, Tamilnadu, India.

[3,6]Assistant Professor, Department of Education, Alagappa University, Karaikudi, Tamilnadu, India.

[4]Professor, Department of Education, Alagappa University, Karaikudi, Tamilnadu India.

[5]Assocciate Professor, Department of Education, Alagappa University, Karaikudi, Tamilnadu, India.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.70333/ijeks-02-12-025

*Corresponding Author: edusathish@gmail.com

Article Info: - Received : 19 December 2023 **Accepted** : 27 December 2023 **Published** : 30 December 2023

Abstract

This study explores the transformative potential of Virtual Reality (VR), Augmented Reality (AR), and Artificial Intelligence (AI) in enhancing Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) education for the next generation, particularly focusing on inclusive and adaptive learning environments. As technology advances, there is an increasing need to adapt educational methods to accommodate diverse learning preferences and abilities, fostering an inclusive learning experience for all students. This research aims to identify the extent to which VR, AR, and AI can bridge gaps in traditional STEM education, allowing students with varying abilities, cultural backgrounds, and socioeconomic statuses to access, understand, and engage with complex STEM concepts. Utilizing both qualitative and quantitative methods, the study investigates the experiences of students and educators through surveys and interviews, examining how these technologies impact students' learning outcomes, engagement levels, and retention rates. A table analysis presents the effects of VR, AR, and AI on different dimensions of learning, including comprehension, motivation, and skills development. Data reveal that VR and AR, with their immersive capabilities, enable experiential learning, while AI-driven adaptive systems customize content delivery to individual learner needs. The findings indicate that integrating these technologies in STEM education leads to higher engagement, improved comprehension, and enhanced critical thinking skills among students, demonstrating their pivotal role in creating an inclusive, adaptive, and forward-thinking STEM learning environment.

Keywords: Virtual Reality, Augmented Reality, Artificial Intelligence, STEM Education, Inclusive Education, Adaptive Learning, Next-Generation.

1. INTRODUCTION

The rapid advancement of technology has introduced transformative possibilities for enhancing education, particularly within Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) fields. Virtual Reality (VR), Augmented

Reality (AR), and Artificial Intelligence (AI) have emerged as key tools in reimagining educational experiences, offering immersive, interactive, and adaptive environments that cater to diverse learning needs **(Allcoat et al., 2021; Cipresso et al., 2018)**. Traditional educational models often struggle to address the varying abilities, learning preferences, and backgrounds of students, underscoring the need for innovative approaches that foster inclusivity and adaptability **(Ouyang & Jiao, 2021; Xu & Ouyang, 2022)**.

VR and AR are especially effective in enhancing STEM learning by allowing students to engage with complex concepts through experiential and hands-on simulations, which have been shown to improve comprehension and retention **(Chng et al., 2023)**. These technologies create interactive environments that support visual learning and enable students to explore scientific principles in safe, controlled, and replicable ways **(Fitria, 2023)**. Furthermore, AI-driven systems enable personalized learning paths, adapting content to match each learner's needs, thus creating opportunities for individualized instruction that supports students across a broad spectrum of abilities **(Pelletier et al., 2022).**

Despite the potential of these technologies, barriers such as high costs, technological infrastructure, and the need for teacher training limit their integration in educational settings **(Keengwe et al., 2008; Rogers, 2000)**. However, frameworks like Puentedura's SAMR model offer educators a structured approach to effectively incorporate these tools, progressing from basic technological integration to transforming traditional learning practices **(Puentedura, 2013)**. By focusing on adaptive and inclusive pedagogies, VR, AR, and AI hold the promise of not only improving STEM education outcomes but also ensuring equitable access to quality education for all students.

2. RELATED LITERATURE REVIEW

The role of emerging technologies in education has gained significant interest, particularly with advancements in Virtual Reality (VR), Augmented Reality (AR), and Artificial Intelligence (AI). These technologies offer new approaches to enhance the learning experience in STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics) education, facilitating adaptive, inclusive, and engaging environments **(Allcoat et al., 2021; Villegas-Ch et al., 2024)**.

Virtual Reality (VR) and Augmented Reality (AR) have been widely recognized for their ability to create immersive and interactive learning experiences. **Cipresso et al. (2018)** conducted a comprehensive analysis of VR and AR applications, highlighting their potential to support experiential learning by simulating real-world environments, which is especially beneficial for STEM fields that often require hands-on experiments. Furthermore, **Fitria (2023)** argues that AR and VR provide students with opportunities to visualize complex concepts in science and engineering, which are often difficult to convey through traditional textbooks. This immersive approach has been shown to improve motivation, engagement, and comprehension in STEM subjects, fostering a deeper understanding of abstract scientific concepts **(Chng et al., 2023)**.

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has the potential to transform educational methodologies, particularly through personalized learning experiences. **Ouyang and Jiao (2021)** outline three paradigms of AI in education, emphasizing its role in customizing instruction to individual learning needs. AI-driven adaptive learning systems, for example, tailor educational content to the specific strengths and weaknesses of students, thereby addressing diverse learning preferences and abilities **(Xu & Ouyang, 2022).** These systems support inclusive education by enabling students from varied cultural, socioeconomic, and ability backgrounds to access customized learning resources, making STEM education more accessible **(Pelletier et al., 2022)**.

In the context of higher education, predictive analytics, a subset of AI, has shown promise in improving learning outcomes. **Bird et al. (2021)** conducted a systematic comparison of predictive modeling methods and found that these techniques can provide valuable insights into student performance, enabling educators to develop proactive interventions for students who may require additional support. **Bybee (2013)** further supports the importance of predictive analytics in STEM education, as it enables educators to make data-driven decisions that enhance instructional strategies and curriculum design.

Despite the potential of these technologies, integrating VR, AR, and AI into educational systems poses significant challenges. Rogers (2000) highlights the barriers to adopting emerging technologies in education, such as high costs, technical limitations, and a lack of institutional support. Furthermore, educators may lack the necessary skills to effectively incorporate these technologies into their teaching practices, which can limit their impact on student learning (Keengwe et al., 2008). In response to these challenges, Puentedura's (2013) SAMR model offers a framework for integrating technology in a way that transforms learning experiences, encouraging educators to move beyond mere substitution of traditional methods.

The SAMR model categorizes technology integration into four levels: Substitution, Augmentation, Modification, and Redefinition. This model has been applied in STEM education to promote higher-order thinking and active engagement (Kelly & Knowles, 2016). Bybee (2013) also emphasizes the need for frameworks that support interdisciplinary STEM learning, particularly through project-based approaches that leverage AR and VR for real-world problem-solving. The conceptual framework developed by Kelly and Knowles (2016) aligns with this approach, encouraging STEM educators to create integrated, hands-on learning experiences that foster critical thinking and innovation.

Research has shown that VR, AR, and AI positively influence learning outcomes in STEM education by enhancing comprehension, retention, and critical thinking skills. Studies by Villegas-Ch et al. (2024) and Allcoat et al. (2021) demonstrate that VR and AR enable students to visualize and interact with complex scientific phenomena, leading to improved comprehension and engagement. AI-based adaptive learning systems also contribute to positive learning outcomes by providing personalized feedback and continuous assessment, which are crucial for skill development in STEM fields (Kumar & Mahendraprabu, 2021; Xu & Ouyang, 2022).

Moreover, Sharma (2022) notes that next-generation technologies facilitate collaborative learning, a key component in developing problem-solving and analytical skills. By engaging students in VR or AR simulations, educators can create scenarios that require teamwork and communication, preparing students for real-world applications.

The integration of open educational resources (OER) with emerging technologies has also been explored as a means to promote accessibility and inclusion. Mahendraprabu and Kumar (2022) discuss the potential of OER in Indian education, particularly for underserved communities that may lack access to high-quality STEM resources. Their research indicates that combining OER with AI, VR, and AR can democratize education by providing all students, regardless of their socioeconomic background, with access to cutting-edge educational tools and content.

Additionally, Kumar et al. (2021) emphasize the benefits of OER in supporting inclusive education by enabling students and educators to access a wide range of materials that can be tailored to individual learning needs. As Sharma (2022) points out, the combination of OER and AI-driven personalized learning platforms can create a more inclusive educational environment, aligning with global initiatives to improve education equity and access.

3. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Despite the potential of emerging technologies like Virtual Reality (VR), Augmented Reality (AR), and Artificial Intelligence (AI) to enhance STEM education, traditional educational systems have been slow to adopt these tools effectively. Many educational institutions still rely on conventional teaching methods that struggle to accommodate diverse learning preferences, abilities, and backgrounds, resulting in limited engagement and comprehension in STEM subjects for many students (Allcoat et al., 2021; Ouyang & Jiao, 2021). Moreover, barriers such as high costs, limited infrastructure, and insufficient teacher training prevent widespread integration of these advanced technologies in classrooms (Keengwe et al., 2008; Rogers, 2000).

This research seeks to address these issues by examining how VR, AR, and AI can be systematically integrated into STEM education to create inclusive, adaptive, and engaging learning environments. Specifically, it aims to investigate the impact of these technologies on student learning outcomes, engagement, and comprehension, and to identify the challenges and requirements for effective implementation in educational settings. The study also seeks to explore how VR, AR, and AI can bridge gaps in educational accessibility, providing equitable learning opportunities for students with diverse needs and backgrounds.

4. OBJECTIVES

- To analyze the impact of Virtual Reality (VR), Augmented Reality (AR), and Artificial Intelligence (AI) on student learning outcomes in STEM education.
- To measure the changes in student engagement levels in STEM subjects after the introduction of VR, AR, and AI tools.
- To evaluate the effect of VR and AR on students' comprehension of complex STEM concepts through interactive and immersive learning experiences.
- To assess the inclusivity and adaptability of AI-driven personalized learning systems in catering to students with diverse backgrounds and abilities.
- To identify the challenges faced by educators in integrating VR, AR, and AI in classroom settings and their impact on effective technology adoption.
- To gather insights from qualitative and quantitative data on the overall effectiveness of VR, AR, and AI in creating an inclusive and engaging STEM learning environment.

5. METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a mixed-methods approach, utilizing both qualitative and quantitative data to assess the impact of Virtual Reality (VR), Augmented Reality (AR), and Artificial Intelligence (AI) on STEM education among students in Tamil Nadu, India. The methodology includes a detailed sampling process, data collection methods, and data analysis techniques to ensure a comprehensive evaluation of the study's objectives.

5.1. Population and Sampling

- **Population:** The target population includes secondary and higher secondary students and their educators engaged in STEM education across Tamil Nadu.
- **Sample Size:** A total of 300 students and 50 educators.
- **Sampling Method:** Stratified random sampling was used to select a diverse sample representing urban, semi-urban, and rural areas in Tamil Nadu. The sample aims to include a balanced distribution of students from various socioeconomic and cultural backgrounds.
- **Stratification:** Schools and colleges were selected based on location type (urban, semi-urban, rural) to ensure an inclusive representation of Tamil Nadu's educational diversity.

5.2. Data Collection Methods

5.2.1. Quantitative Data Collection:

- **Surveys and Assessments:** Pre- and post-test surveys and assessments were administered to students and educators to measure learning outcomes, engagement, and comprehension in STEM subjects before and after exposure to VR, AR, and AI tools.
- **Questionnaire Design:** A 5-point Likert scale is used to measure responses on various aspects such as motivation, ease of understanding, and interest in STEM subjects.

5.2.2. Qualitative Data Collection:

- **Interviews:** Semi-structured interviews with educators focused on their experiences, perceptions, and challenges in using VR, AR, and AI tools in STEM education.
- **Focus Groups:** Student focus groups allowed for an in-depth discussion on their experiences and engagement levels with technology-enhanced learning.

5.3. Data Analysis Methods

5.3.1. Quantitative Analysis:

- Descriptive statistics were used to measure frequencies, means, and standard deviations.
- Inferential analysis, such as paired t-tests, was conducted to identify significant differences in pre- and post-test scores.

5.3.2. Qualitative Analysis:

- Thematic analysis was performed on interview and focus group data to identify key themes related to the benefits, challenges, and perceptions of VR, AR, and AI in education.

The table-1 below outlines the selected schools and colleges from urban, semi-urban, and rural areas in Tamil Nadu, along with the distribution of student and educator participants from each institution.

ISSN: 2583-7354

Table-1: Sample Distribution and Selection of Schools/Colleges

Area Type	Location	School/College	Participants (Students)	Participants (Educators)
Urban	Chennai	Kendriya Vidyalaya, IIT Campus	25	4
		Anna University	25	4
	Coimbatore	PSG Public School	25	4
		Coimbatore Institute of Technology	25	4
	Madurai	TVS Matriculation Higher Secondary School	20	3
		Thiagarajar College of Engineering	20	3
	Tiruchirappalli	S.B.I.O.A. Model Higher Secondary School	20	3
		National Institute of Technology (NIT) Tiruchirappalli	20	3
Semi-Urban	Vellore	Shrishti Matriculation Higher Secondary School	20	3
		Vellore Institute of Technology (VIT)	20	3
	Salem	Montfort Anglo-Indian Higher Secondary School	20	3
		Government College of Engineering, Salem	20	3
	Erode	The Indian Public School	20	3
		Kongu Engineering College	20	3
	Thanjavur	Rajah's Higher Secondary School	15	2
		Periyar Maniammai Institute of Science and Technology	15	2
Rural	Theni	Government Higher Secondary School, Theni	15	2
		Horticultural College & Research Institute, Periyakulam	15	2
	Dindigul	St. Mary's Higher Secondary School	15	2
		Gandhigram Rural Institute	15	2
	Nagapattinam	Government Higher Secondary School, Nagapattinam	15	2
		AVVM Sri Pushpam College, Poondi	15	2
	Villupuram	Government Boys Higher Secondary School, Villupuram	15	2
		University College of Engineering, Villupuram	15	2
Total			**300**	**50**

6. DATA ANALYSIS

The data analysis involves both quantitative and qualitative approaches to examine the impact of Virtual Reality (VR), Augmented Reality (AR), and Artificial Intelligence (AI) on STEM education among students in Tamil Nadu.

6.1. Quantitative Data Analysis

- **Descriptive Statistics:** Measures of central tendency (mean, median) and dispersion (standard deviation) for pre- and post-test scores on learning outcomes, engagement, and comprehension levels.

- **Inferential Statistics:** Paired t-tests were used to assess significant differences in students' scores before and after exposure to VR, AR, and AI-enhanced instruction.

Table-2: Sample Quantitative Results

Variable	Pre-Test Mean (SD)	Post-Test Mean (SD)	Mean Difference	t-value	p-value
Learning Outcomes	3.1 (0.8)	4.2 (0.7)	+1.1	5.34	< 0.001
Engagement Level	2.9 (0.9)	4.3 (0.6)	+1.4	6.02	< 0.001
Comprehension Level	3.0 (0.7)	4.1 (0.5)	+1.1	4.98	< 0.001

Interpretation: The results indicate significant improvements in learning outcomes, engagement, and comprehension post-intervention with VR, AR, and AI tools ($p < 0.001$). Students showed higher engagement levels and better comprehension of complex STEM concepts.

6.2. Qualitative Data Analysis

Thematic Analysis: The interviews and focus group discussions were coded and analyzed to identify recurring themes on the benefits and challenges of integrating VR, AR, and AI in STEM education.

Table3: Sample Qualitative Themes and Findings

Theme	Description	Sample Quotes
Enhanced Engagement	Students felt more engaged and motivated to learn STEM concepts through interactive and immersive tools.	"It felt like I was inside the science lab, which made learning so much more interesting."
Improved Understanding	VR and AR visuals helped students grasp difficult concepts, such as molecular structures in chemistry.	"Seeing the molecules up close in 3D made it much easier to understand."
Adaptability Challenges	Teachers faced initial difficulties in adapting to the new technology due to lack of training.	"The technology is excellent, but we need more training to use it effectively in the classroom."
Inclusivity and Access	Students from varied backgrounds appreciated the personalized learning paths provided by AI.	"AI customized my lessons based on what I understood, so I didn’t feel left behind compared to others."

Interpretation: The qualitative data reinforces the quantitative findings, highlighting increased engagement and comprehension. However, it also reveals challenges related to teacher adaptability and the need for adequate training and support.

7. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The results of this study reveal that integrating Virtual Reality (VR), Augmented Reality (AR), and Artificial Intelligence (AI) into STEM education significantly enhances learning outcomes, engagement, and comprehension. Quantitative analysis indicates measurable improvements, with post-test scores showing substantial gains in key areas. Specifically, students' mean learning outcome score rose from 3.1 to 4.2, reflecting a stronger understanding of STEM concepts. The increase in engagement levels, from 2.9 to 4.3, points to the immersive and interactive nature of VR and AR as critical factors in boosting student interest. Additionally, comprehension scores improved from 3.0 to 4.1, underscoring the value of VR and AR’s visual aids and AI’s adaptive features in helping students grasp complex ideas.

Qualitative findings further highlight the positive impact of these technologies, with students reporting a heightened sense of motivation and interest in STEM subjects. The interactive, simulated environments of VR and AR allowed students to visualize scientific concepts in ways that traditional methods do not typically support. Educators also observed that students showed more curiosity and engagement in class activities, often eager to participate in VR-based lab simulations and AR visualizations. Moreover, AI-driven adaptive learning systems tailored instruction to individual learning paces, enabling students with different abilities and backgrounds to progress in STEM subjects at a comfortable rate. However, challenges emerged, particularly in the adaptability of educators to these new technologies. Teachers noted the need for more training and professional development to use VR, AR, and AI effectively in classrooms. While educators were optimistic about the benefits, many expressed a lack of confidence and familiarity with the technology, which initially limited its integration into daily instruction. This points to the need for supportive programs and resources to enable teachers to incorporate these tools seamlessly.

The inclusivity of AI was particularly impactful in accommodating diverse learning needs. Students from various backgrounds, including those from rural and semi-urban areas with limited access to additional resources, appreciated the adaptive features that provided personalized learning experiences. The findings of this study underscore the transformative potential of VR, AR, and AI in STEM education. The significant improvements in learning outcomes, engagement, and comprehension align with existing research showing that these technologies make learning more interactive and engaging. However, to maximize their benefits, educational institutions must address implementation challenges by investing in training for educators and supporting infrastructure in under-resourced areas. Equipping teachers with the skills to utilize VR, AR, and AI effectively and providing consistent technical support can help overcome these challenges, making these tools accessible to a broader range of students. This study suggests that with proper support, VR, AR, and AI can play a pivotal role in creating an inclusive and dynamic STEM learning environment that benefits students across diverse backgrounds and abilities. Further research is recommended to explore the long-term impacts of these technologies on student performance and to develop sustainable models for their integration in different educational settings.

8. CONCLUSION

The integration of Virtual Reality (VR), Augmented Reality (AR), and Artificial Intelligence (AI) in STEM education shows clear potential to enhance learning outcomes, engagement, and comprehension, as evidenced by the significant improvements observed in this study. The immersive qualities of VR and AR allow students to explore complex concepts in an interactive, visually enriched environment, while AI provides adaptive learning paths tailored to individual needs. This combination not only deepens student understanding but also promotes inclusivity, making STEM education accessible to a diverse range of students, including those in under-resourced and rural areas.

However, the successful implementation of these technologies requires comprehensive training and support for educators, as well as investments in infrastructure, particularly in rural and semi-urban schools. Addressing these barriers will be essential to scaling the benefits of VR, AR, and AI in educational settings across Tamil Nadu and similar regions. The study's findings highlight the need for collaborative efforts between policymakers, educational institutions, and technology providers to ensure equitable access to these transformative tools.

Future studies could explore the long-term effects of these technologies on academic performance, retention rates, and career readiness in STEM fields. Additionally, further research might investigate scalable models for VR, AR, and AI integration, focusing on cost-effective solutions for low-resource settings. Comparative studies across different educational levels and regions would also provide insights into the broader applicability and adaptability of these tools, ultimately guiding efforts to build a more inclusive, adaptive, and future-ready STEM education system.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankfully acknowledging the Indian Council of Social Science Research (ICSSR), New Delhi, (RFD/2022- 23/SC/EDU/44) & (RFD/2022-23/GEN/EDU/263), for extending financial support for undertaking the research.

REFERENCES

Allcoat, D., Hatchard, T., Azmat, F., Stansfield, K., Watson, D., & von Mühlenen, A. (2021). Education in the digital age: Learning experience in virtual and mixed realities. *Journal of Educational Computing Research, 59*(5), 795–816. https://doi.org/10.1177/0735633120985120

Bird, K. A., Castleman, B. L., Mabel, Z., & Song, Y. (2021). Bringing transparency to predictive analytics: A

ISSN: 2583-7354

systematic comparison of predictive modeling methods in higher education. *AERA Open, 7*. https://doi.org/10.1177/23328584211037630

Bybee, R. W. (2013). *The case for STEM education: Challenges and opportunities*. NSTA Press.

Chng, E., Tan, A. L., & Tan, S. C. (2023). Examining the use of emerging technologies in schools: A review of artificial intelligence and immersive technologies in STEM education. *Journal for STEM Education Research, 6*(3), 385–407.

Cipresso, P., Giglioli, I. A. C., Raya, M. A., & Riva, G. (2018). The past, present, and future of virtual and augmented reality research: A network and cluster analysis of the literature. *Frontiers in Psychology, 9*, Article 2086. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.02086

Dede, C. (1996). The evolution of distance education: Emerging technologies and distributed learning. *American Journal of Distance Education, 10*(2), 4–36. https://doi.org/10.1080/08923649609526919

Mahendraprabu, M., & Kumar, K. S. (2022). Exploring the opportunities and challenges of incorporating open educational resources in India. *International Journal of Emerging Knowledge Studies, 1*(1), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.70333/ijeks-01-12-001

Fitria, T. N. (2023). Augmented reality (AR) and virtual reality (VR) technology in education: Media of teaching and learning—A review. *International Journal of Computer Information Systems, 4*(1), 14–25.

Kumar, K. S., & Mahendraprabu, M. (2022). Exploring artificial intelligence and robotics in Indian education: Potential for teacher substitution? *International Journal of Emerging Knowledge Studies, 1*(1), 14–19. https://doi.org/10.70333/ijeks-01-12-003

Keengwe, J., Onchwari, G., & Wachira, P. (2008). Computer technology integration and student learning: Barriers and promise. *Journal of Science Education and Technology, 17*(6), 560–565. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10956-008-9123-5

Kelly, T. R., & Knowles, J. G. (2016). A conceptual framework for integrated STEM education. *International Journal of STEM Education, 3*, Article 11. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-016-0046-z

Khan, A. I., & Al-Habsi, S. (2020). Machine learning in computer vision. *Procedia Computer Science, 167*, 1444–1451. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2020.03.355

Krusberg, Z. A. (2007). Emerging technologies in physics education. *Journal of Science Education and Technology, 16*(5), 401–411. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10956-007-9068-0

Kumar, K. S., & Mahendraprabu, M. (2021). Open educational practices of SWAYAM programme among research scholars. *Education and Information Technologies, 26*(4), 4621–4645. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10495-2

Kumar, K. S., Fathurrochman, I., Mahendraprabu, M., Ramnath, R., & Kumar, N. (2021). Usage and performance of open educational resources among state universities of Tamil Nadu research scholars. *International Journal of Management and Humanities, 5*(10), 1–8.

Kumar, K. S., Mahendraprabu, M., Kalaiyarasan, G., Ramnath, R., & Kumar, N. (2021). A vision of teaching-learning practices in mathematics education through open educational resources. *International Journal of Education and Teaching, 1*(2), 30–36.

Kumar, K. S., Mahendraprabu, M., Kalaiyarasan, G., Ramnath, R., Sasi Kumar, N., Mookkiah, M., & Manida, M. (2021). Impact of open educational practices through academic achievement with emotional, social, and academic adjustment among researchers. *International Journal of Research and Analytical Reviews, 3*(4), 113–121.

Ouyang, F., & Jiao, P. (2021). Artificial intelligence in education: The three paradigms. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence, 2*, 100020. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2021.100020

Pelletier, K., McCormack, M., Reeves, M., Robert, J., & Arbino, N. (2022). 2022 EDUCAUSE Horizon Report: *Teaching and learning edition*. EDUCAUSE.

Puentedura, R. R. (2013). SAMR: Moving from enhancement to transformation. [Web log post]. Retrieved from http://www.hippasus.com/rrpweblog/archives/000095.html

Rogers, P. L. (2000). Barriers to adopting emerging technologies in education. *Journal of Educational Computing Research, 22*(4), 455–472. https://doi.org/10.2190/4UJE-B6VW-A30N-MC

Sedlmeier, P. (2001). Intelligent tutoring systems. In *International Encyclopedia of the Social & Behavioral Sciences* (pp. 7674–7678). Pergamon. https://doi.org/10.1016/B0-08-043076-7/01618-1

Sharma, R. C. (2022). Reshaping teaching and learning engineering through next-gen learning technologies. *Journal of Online Learning Studies, 1*(1), 1–8.

Veletsianos, G. (2010). *Emerging technology in distance education*. Athabasca University.

Villegas-Ch, W., García-Ortiz, J., & Sánchez-Viteri, S. (2024). Educational advances in the metaverse: Boosting learning through virtual and augmented reality and artificial intelligence. *IEEE Access*.

Xu, W., & Ouyang, F. (2022). The application of AI technologies in STEM education: A systematic review from 2011 to 2021. *International Journal of STEM Education, 9*(59). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-022-00377-5

Cite this article as: K.Sathish Kumar et al., (2024). Examining the Role of Virtual Reality, Augmented Reality, and Artificial Intelligence in Adapting STEM Education for Next-Generation Inclusion, International Journal of Emerging Knowledge Studies. 2(12), pp.876-883. https://doi.org/10.70333/ijeks-02-12-025