ISSN: 2583-7354

International Journal of Emerging Knowledge Studies

Publisher's Home Page: https://www.ijeks.com/

Fully Open Access Research Paper

SELF-REGULATION PRACTICES AS A KEY DETERMINANT OF SUCCESS IN MATHEMATICS LEARNING

A.Anula Hyasinth[1*], Dr. A. Pio Albina[2]

[1]Research Scholar, Alagappa University College of Education, Alagappa University, Karaikudi, India.

[2]Assistant Professor, Alagappa University College of Education, Alagappa University, Karaikudi, India.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.70333/ijeks-03-08-003

*Corresponding Author: anulajoseph2711@gmail.com

Article Info: - Received : 29 July 2024 **Accepted** : 25 August 2024 **Published** : 30 August 2024

Abstract

Academic success is significantly influenced by self-regulated learning (SRL), especially in mathematics. The purpose of this research is to investigate how self-regulated behaviours can improve learning outcomes in mathematics. The study investigates the roles that self-monitoring, goal-setting, self-reflection, and motivational beliefs have in mathematical proficiency. The study emphasises how SRL affects students' capacity to control their learning processes, maintain motivation, and do better academically. According to the review, students who use SRL methods have a higher chance of overcoming obstacles, sticking with their problem-solving and developing a deeper comprehension of mathematical ideas. Additionally, the study proposes Teachers can enable students to take charge of their education by incorporating SRL practices into mathematics lessons. This will increase students' academic performance and equip them with lifetime learning abilities.

Keywords: *Self-Regulated Learning, Mathematics Education, Academic Success, Goal Setting.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Mathematics is a fundamental component of education, serving as a critical skill in various aspects of life and professional fields. Despite its importance, many students struggle with mathematics, leading to a significant achievement gap. Traditional teaching approaches frequently place more of an emphasis on delivering knowledge than on helping students become more adept at self-regulated learning (SRL). To accomplish their learning objectives, students must activate and maintain certain ideas, behaviours, and emotions. This process is known as self-regulated learning (SRL)**(Zimmerman, 1989)**. It includes a number of techniques that let students take control of their education, such as goal-setting, self-monitoring, and self-reflection.

The application of SRL in mathematics education is quite important. Studies have indicated that students who participate in self-regulated learning (SRL) are more adept at managing complex mathematical assignments, overcoming setbacks, and attaining superior academic outcomes **(Schunk & Ertmer, 2000; Wolters, 2003)**. By enabling students to take an active role in their education, SRL promotes

motivation and a sense of independence in them. The article seeks to investigate the crucial role that SRL practices play in mathematics learning, looking at the ways in which these tactics support academic achievement and tackling the difficulties that arise when putting them into practice **(Zimmerman, 2002; Bandura, 1997)**. Educational research has shifted its focus in recent years to comprehend the variables influencing mathematical achievement. Of these, SRL has become a key component, as seen by the many studies that show how well it affects students' learning outcomes **(Pintrich & De Groot, 1990; Zimmerman & Schunk, 2011)**. This paper aims to offer educators useful insights and techniques to improve their teaching practices by exploring the components of SRL and their application in mathematics education. In the end, encouraging SRL in math classes can help different learners, close the achievement gap, and advance a deeper comprehension of mathematical ideas **(Boekaerts, Pintrich, & Zeidner, 2000; Patrick, Ryan, & Kaplan, 2007)**.

2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

According to **Zimmerman (2002)**, SRL involves three phases: forethought, performance, and self-reflection. Students organise their learning activities and establish goals during the forethought phase. During the performance phase, plans are put into action and advancement is tracked internally. The last step in the self-reflection process is to assess results and modify tactics as necessary. Research by **Pintrich (2000)** underscores the importance of motivational beliefs in SRL. Students with high self-efficacy and intrinsic motivation are more likely to engage in SRL practices, as they believe in their capability to succeed and find personal value in the learning process. Bandura's (1997) theory of self-efficacy further supports this, suggesting that students' beliefs in their abilities significantly influence their academic behaviors and outcomes.

Several Studies have shown a positive correlation between SRL and mathematics achievement. According to **Schunk and Ertmer (2000)**, students who set specific goals and monitor their progress achieved greater mathematical proficiency. **Wolters (2003)** also highlighted the benefits of self-regulatory strategies in improving students' problem-solving skills. It is also crucial to understand how SRL interacts with socio-emotional factors. As **Boekaerts (1997)** emphasizes, students' emotional regulation and stress management are integral parts of SRL, affecting their ability to remain focused. As **Patrick, Ryan, and Kaplan (2007)** discuss, creating a positive classroom environment encourages students' engagement in SRL by fostering a sense of belonging and support.

Implementing SRL still presents challenges, despite its well-documented benefits. Due to a lack of resources and training, teachers frequently struggle to incorporate SRL methods into their lesson plans. Furthermore, in order to establish and sustain successful SRL behaviours, students might need direction and assistance **(Butler & Winne, 1995; Cleary & Zimmerman, 2004)**. Researchers recommend a complete strategy that incorporates SRL methods into curriculum design, supports students continuously, and provides professional development for teachers in order to address these issues **(Dignath & Büttner, 2008; Kistner et al., 2010)**. Teachers can enable students to take charge of their education and succeed more mathematically by fostering an environment that supports SRL in the classroom **(Stoeger & Ziegler, 2008; Zimmerman & Kitsantas, 2005)**.

3. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

This research aims to investigate the ways in which self-regulated learning (SRL) activities can improve learning outcomes related to mathematics. With regard to mathematics education, this study intends to investigate the essential elements of self-reflection, goal-setting, and self-monitoring. Furthermore, it aims to explore the ways in which students' mathematical proficiency is influenced by their motivational beliefs, highlighting the need of cultivating intrinsic motivation and a growth mind-set. In order to enable students to take charge of their education, the study also attempts to pinpoint reliable strategies that teachers may use to foster SRL in mathematics classes. Additionally, it discusses the difficulties in putting SRL practices into practice and offers workable answers to get beyond them so that SRL can be successfully incorporated into educational environments. By exploring these facets, the study builds upon the writings of eminent academics such as **Pintrich (2000), Zimmerman (2002), and Boekaerts (1997)** in an effort to offer a thorough grasp of

SRL in the context of teaching mathematics. By accomplishing these objectives, the paper hopes to give teachers a thorough grasp of SRL and useful insights to improve their teaching methods, which will ultimately improve students' learning results in mathematics.

4. STRATEGIES FOR PROMOTING MATHEMATICS SUCCESS THROUGH SELF-REGULATION

Students must engage in self-regulated learning (SRL) activities in order to become independent and take charge of their mathematics education. These methods entail a cyclical process of organising, keeping track of, and reviewing one's educational endeavours. With the help of SRL, students can improve their performance and comprehension in mathematics by setting clear objectives, using practical techniques, and monitoring their progress **(Zimmerman, 1989; Schunk, 1991)**.

Goal setting and planning

Setting goals that are effective is the backbone of SRL. Students should make SMART (specific, measurable, achievable, relevant, and time-bound) goals when it comes to mathematics. For instance, a student might set a goal to successfully answer a specific number of algebraic problems in a week. Planning entails dividing these objectives into doable assignments and setting out time for each. Students can stay focused and on course in their study by creating clear goals and organising their strategy **(Bandura, 1997)**.

5. SELF-MONITORING

The ability of pupils to follow their progress towards their objectives is referred to as self-monitoring. In mathematics, this entails verifying the accuracy of solutions, spotting mistakes, and evaluating conceptual grasp. Self-monitoring enables students to identify their areas of weakness and modify their approach accordingly. Self-monitoring techniques can be aided by resources like checklists, progress charts, and reflective journals **(Butler & Winne, 1995)**.

6. STRATEGIES

Using efficient learning techniques is essential for SRL. Mathematical strategies that improve comprehension include the use of visual aids, metacognitive strategies, and problem-solving heuristics. For example, students may utilise step-by-step methods to solve difficult equations or employ diagrams to visualise geometric problems. Mathematical proficiency can be increased by teaching pupils a range of strategies and promoting their use **(Pintrich & De Groot, 1990)**. Mathematical problem-solving heuristics, metacognitive techniques, step-by-step methods, and promoting diversity in methodologies are all essential components of effective SRL. Understanding the issue, developing up with a strategy, executing the plan, and reviewing over the answer are examples of problem-solving heuristics. Planning and arranging study time, goal-setting, self-reflection, and self-monitoring are the main focuses of metacognitive techniques. Students learn concepts more effectively when visual aids like graphs, diagrams, and manipulative are used **(Zimmerman, 2002; Pintrich, 2000)**. Gradually reducing support as students gain proficiency is a goal of step-by-step approaches such as scaffolding and working examples. Encouraging students to explore various problem-solving and metacognitive skills requires explicitly training and inspiring them to do so. These techniques greatly improve mathematical competency and promote efficient self-regulated learning; they are based on research by **Pintrich & De Groot (1990)**.

7. SELF-REFLECTION

Evaluating one's own learning experiences and results is a key component of self-reflection. Students should consider how they solve problems, how well their solutions work, and how well they perform overall when it comes to mathematics. Through self-reflection, students can pinpoint their areas of strength and weakness, enabling them to make more informed modifications to their learning strategies. Deeper learning can be promoted by holding regular reflection sessions that are led by questions such "What did I do well?" and "What can I improve?" **(Schunk & Zimmerman, 1998)**.

8. MOTIVATION AND SELF-EFFICACY

Self-efficacy and motivational beliefs are essential components of SRL. Students are more likely to participate in SRL activities if they have confidence in their capacity to excel in mathematics. Setting realistic objectives, acknowledging accomplishments, and giving

encouraging feedback are all part of developing self-efficacy. Furthermore, students' engagement and perseverance can be improved by cultivating intrinsic motivation through the integration of mathematical problems into real-life contexts **(Bandura, 1997; Wolters & Rosenthal, 2000)**.

9. EXPLICIT INSTRUCTION ON SRL

The use of SRL techniques, such as goal-setting, self-monitoring, and self-reflection, should be explicitly taught by teachers. This entails guiding students through the procedure, setting an example, and modelling these habits. An instructor might, for example, walk through how to establish clear objectives for a math assignment, track development, and evaluate the results. Giving pupils frequent opportunities to practise these abilities supports their growth **(Schunk & Zimmerman, 1998)**.

10. SCAFFOLDING AND SUPPORT

Providing students with short-term assistance to help them acquire SRL skills is known as scaffolding. Teachers can help children learn mathematics by dissecting difficult problems into smaller, more manageable steps, offering guidance or prompts, and progressively lowering support as students gain proficiency. Students' SRL abilities can also be improved by encouraging them to explain their methods and mental processes when solving problems **(Butler & Winne, 1995)**.

11. METACOGNITIVE ACTIVITIES

Mathematical education that incorporates metacognitive activities fosters students' awareness of their own thought processes. Students are encouraged to reflect on their learning, assess their techniques, and make plans for the future through exercises including think-alouds, self-questioning, and peer conversations. For instance, following a problem-solving session, students could talk with a partner about the techniques they employed and areas for improvement **(Veenman, Van Hout-Wolters, & Afflerbach, 2006)**.

12. CREATING A SUPPORTING LEARNING ENVIRONMENT

Promoting SRL in the classroom requires a supportive atmosphere. Teachers ought to cultivate a growth mentality in their students by pushing them to see setbacks as chances for personal development. Enhancing SRL practices can involve giving constructive criticism, praising hard work, and creating a collaborative learning environment where students feel comfortable asking for assistance **(Patrick, Ryan, & Kaplan, 2007)**.

13. ENCOURAGING PEER COLLABORATION

Students can encourage one other's learning, exchange strategies, and offer comments when they collaborate with their peers. Peer tutoring, study groups, and group activities can all help to foster idea sharing and collaborative problem solving. Collaborating with peers in mathematics can provide pupils with diverse viewpoints and strengthen their comprehension **(Kistner et al., 2010)**.

14. INTEGRATING TECHNOLOGY

Technology has the potential to be an effective tool for promoting SRL in mathematics. Self-assessment opportunities, instant feedback, and personalised learning experiences can all be obtained through educational apps, online resources, and interactive platforms. Students' involvement and SRL practices can be improved by using resources like Khan Academy, Mathway, and interactive simulations **(Dignath & Büttner, 2008)**.

15. CONCLUSION

Students must engage in self-regulated learning (SRL) strategies in order to succeed in mathematics. Through goal-setting, progress tracking, using useful techniques, and learning reflection, kids can become more independent and advance in mathematics. The use of SRL methods into mathematics education promotes lifetime learning skills in addition to improving academic achievement. By giving clear teaching, offering scaffolding, and fostering a supportive learning environment, educators play a crucial part in fostering SRL. Further supporting the development of SRL abilities is the promotion of technology use, peer cooperation, and metacognitive activities. The advantages for students' learning results and general development outweigh the difficulties in putting SRL practices into practice. We can enable students to take charge of their education, close achievement disparities, and develop a deeper comprehension of mathematical topics by placing a high priority on SRL in mathematics education.

REFERENCES

Bandura, A. (1997). Self-efficacy: The exercise of control. W.H. Freeman.

Boekaerts, M. (1997). Self-regulated learning: A new concept embraced by researchers, policy makers, educators, teachers, and students. Learning and Instruction, 7(2), 161–186. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-4752(96)00015-1

Boekaerts, M., Pintrich, P. R., & Zeidner, M. (Eds.). (2000). Handbook of self-regulation. Academic Press.

Butler, D. L., & Winne, P. H. (1995). Feedback and self-regulated learning: A theoretical synthesis. Review of Educational Research, 65(3), 245–281. https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543065003245

Cleary, T. J., & Zimmerman, B. J. (2004). Self-regulation empowerment program: A school-based program to enhance self-regulated and self-motivated cycles of student learning. Psychology in the Schools, 41(5), 537–550. https://doi.org/10.1002/pits.10177

Dembo, M. H., & Seli, H. (2004). Students' resistance to change in learning strategies courses. Journal of Developmental Education, 27(3), 2–11.

Dignath, C., & Büttner, G. (2008). Components of fostering self-regulated learning among students: A meta-analysis on intervention studies at primary and secondary school level. Metacognition and Learning, 3(3), 231–264. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11409-008-9029-x

Greene, J. A., & Azevedo, R. (2007). A theoretical review of Winne and Hadwin's model of self-regulated learning: New perspectives and directions. Review of Educational Research, 77(3), 334–372. https://doi.org/10.3102/003465430303953

Kitsantas, A., & Zimmerman, B. J. (2002). Comparing self-regulatory processes among novice, non-expert, and expert volleyball players: A microanalytic study. Journal of Applied Sport Psychology, 14(2), 91–105. https://doi.org/10.1080/10413200252907761

Kistner, S., Rakoczy, K., Otto, B., Dignath-van Ewijk, C., Büttner, G., & Klieme, E. (2010). Promotion of self-regulated learning in classrooms: Investigating frequency, quality, and consequences for student performance. Metacognition and Learning, 5(2), 157–171. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11409-010-9055-3

Linnenbrink, E. A., & Pintrich, P. R. (2003). The role of self-efficacy beliefs in student engagement and learning in the classroom. Reading & Writing Quarterly, 19(2), 119–137. https://doi.org/10.1080/10573560308223

Paris, S. G., & Paris, A. H. (2001). Classroom applications of research on self-regulated learning. Educational Psychologist, 36(2), 89–101. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15326985EP3602_4

Patrick, H., Ryan, A. M., & Kaplan, A. (2007). Early adolescents' perceptions of the classroom social environment, motivational beliefs, and engagement. Journal of Educational Psychology, 99(1), 83–98. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.99.1.83

Pintrich, P. R. (2000). The role of goal orientation in self-regulated learning. In M. Boekaerts, P. R. Pintrich, & M. Zeidner (Eds.), Handbook of self-regulation (pp. 451–502). Academic Press.

Pintrich, P. R., & De Groot, E. V. (1990). Motivational and self-regulated learning components of classroom academic performance. Journal of Educational Psychology, 82(1), 33–40. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.82.1.33

Schunk, D. H. (1991). Self-efficacy and academic motivation. Educational Psychologist, 26(3–4), 207–231. https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.1991.9653133

Schunk, D. H., & Ertmer, P. A. (2000). Self-regulation and academic learning: Self-efficacy enhancing interventions. In M. Boekaerts, P. R. Pintrich, & M. Zeidner (Eds.), Handbook of self-regulation (pp. 631–649). Academic Press.

Schunk, D. H., & Zimmerman, B. J. (Eds.). (1998). Self-regulated learning: From teaching to self-reflective practice. Guilford Press.

Stoeger, H., & Ziegler, A. (2008). Evaluation of a classroom-based training to improve self-regulation in time management tasks during homework activities with fourth graders. Metacognition and Learning, 3(3), 207–230. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11409-008-9027-z

Veenman, M. V., Van Hout-Wolters, B. H., & Afflerbach, P. (2006). Metacognition and learning: Conceptual and methodological considerations. Metacognition and Learning, 1(1), 3–14. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11409-006-6893-0

Winne, P. H. (1996). A metacognitive view of individual differences in self-regulated learning. Learning and Individual Differences, 8(4), 327–353. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1041-6080(96)90022-9

Winne, P. H., & Hadwin, A. F. (1998). Studying as self-regulated learning. In D. J. Hacker, J. Dunlosky, & A. C. Graesser (Eds.), Metacognition in educational theory and practice (pp. 27–30). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Winne, P. H., & Perry, N. E. (2000). Measuring self-regulated learning. In M. Boekaerts, P. R. Pintrich, & M. Zeidner (Eds.), Handbook of self-regulation (pp. 531–566). Academic Press.

Cite this article as: A.Anula Hyasinth and Dr. A. Pio Albina (2024). Self-Regulation Practices As a Key Determinant of Success in Mathematics Learning, International Journal of Emerging Knowledge Studies. 3(8), pp.474-478.
https://doi.org/10.70333/ijeks-03-08-003